### 國 立 清 華 大 夢 命 題 紙

八十七字年度 <u>産 史</u> 系 (所) <u>乙)</u>組碩士班研究生入學考試 組 <u>図 文 与 美 文</u> 科號 <u>440 4 共 子 真第 </u> / 頁 \*調在試卷【答案卷】內作答 一、 図 文 乙 糸札

資料一 宋濂 (宋文憲公全集) 卷四十四 (贈醫士葛某序)

古之醫師必通三世之書所謂三世者一曰《針灸》 二曰神農本草三曰素女脈訣脈訣所以察證本草所以辨藥針灸所以袪疾非是三者不可以言醫故禮記有云醫不三世不服其藥也傳經者既明載其說復斥其非而以父子相承三世爲言何其感數夫醫之爲道必志慮獨微微類明發然後可與於斯雖父不能必傳其子也 … 普之名醫眾矣未暇多論若華元化若張嗣伯若許智藏其治證皆入神初不聞其父子相傳也自傳經者感於是非使禮經之意晦而不由三千年矣世之索醫者不問其通書與否見久於其業者則瞀瞀焉從之人問其故則曰是記禮者云爾也其可乎哉

#### 資料二 袁枚《小倉山房文集》卷十〈沒**營**者韓生序〉

仁無衡而不行堯舜之政問公之教神農之藥皆術也皆所以行其仁也使堯舜周孔神農雖 仁其民如嬰兒而無術以及之其奚能爲雖然後之人爲政教醫藥其屬民加倍焉豈古人之 術不仁數曰仁者見之謂之仁也見何在志是己孔子稱志於道孟子稱尚志又曰夫志氣之 帥也志之所在不特慧力與俱而精誠之至天亦相之今之爲政教醫藥者推其志果可以見 周公孔子神農乎然則其術之不二也乃其志之不仁也

#### 資料三 姚鼐(僧抱軒全集•文三)(醫方捷訣序)

余少有贏疾竊好醫藥養身之術泛覽方書然以不遇碩師古人言或互殊博稽而鮮功深思而不明十餘年無所得乃復厭去夫醫雖小道然其本出於聖帝所爲三代以來設官而氏其族其極至於使人無疵擴入扎之傷而群生樂育導天和安民命至治之隆有賴爲又推其原故固筋骨之束調氣血之不於是安樂壽考永享天祿然後推其意以爲至藥以及庶民此其意至精且厚是以後世醫者雖多然苟非慈明篤厚之君子終不能究其義而雖有篤厚慈明之心苟不世業而少智者猶不能盡其曲折變移之理審明其幾微而霧其離合也

#### 根據上面三段資料回答下列問題

- 1.據資料一,作者認為「醫不三世」是甚麼意思?列舉甚麼理由支持其論點。(5分)
- 2.據資料: , 堯、舜、周、孔、神農與今之政教醫者有甚麼不同的地方?(5分)
- 3.據資料三,應該具備基麼條件才能學好醫術?理由何在%5分)
- 4. 占述三位作者對於成為一個醫者的條件,有何相同之處?又有何相異之處?(10 分)

注意:作答時可以引錄原文,但必須對原文加以標點及語譯。

一、〈留都防亂公撥〉是由顧果等百餘諸生在崇禎十一年戊寅歲聯合與名撰寫的,其 文如下:

(-)

浓據此一文章中所述,試回答下列問題: (一) 前引文中有少數文字的筆畫有缺,讀 試將其中圖選的部份辨讀後,再重抄並加標點。後,涿州乃指魏忠賢同黨的憑銓(涿 州人): (二)作者在文中以其,所聞數端,來論證阮大鉞「不容於聖世」,請略述 此「數端」之大意。(三)作者以何種心態撰寫此一公揭,其訴求又為何?

# 國 立 清 華 大 學 命 惡 紙

八十七學年度<u>原</u> 梁 — 系 (所) <u>2)</u>組碩士班研究生入學考試 相 <u>國 文 与 美 文 — 科號 440U共 4 頁第 3 頁 讀在試卷【答案卷】內作答</u>

#### 科技組英文試題

**TRANSLATIONS**: please translate the two passages below into Chinese. 50 points in total, each is 25 points. If you don't have enough time, at least write down the essential ideas contained in these passages. Of course, translation itself is the best.

1) Conspicuous sexual swellings and a willingness to copulate with many males guarantee females in many [primate] species a certain freedom of movement and range of choice. But what about the initial question: Why multiple males?

Different primates vary enormously in the amount of care that males provide infants, but in all species of the order the behavior of males has an important effect upon the survival of infants which goes far beyond mere contribution of sperm. Throughout evolutionary history, there would have been intense selection pressure against a male who attacked or who ignored his own offsping. The uncertainty which inevitably surrounds paternity favors any female able to plant a seed of doubt. Even if a male is not a sufficiently probable progenitor to induce him to invest directly in an infant by caring for it, if he has mated with that female, it is unlikely that he could rule out completely the possibility that he fathered subsequent offspring. By pushing a father toward the conservative edge of the margin of error that surrounds paternity, a female may forestall direct male interference in her offspring's survival.— from Sarah Blaffer Hrdy, The Woman That Never Evolved.

## 國立清華 大學 命題 紙

2) That professionalization [in a science paradigm] leads, on the one hand. to an immense restriction of the scientist's vision and to a considerable resistance to paradigm change. The science has become increasingly rigid. On the other hand, within those areas to which the paradigm directs the attention of the group, normal science leads to a detail of information and to a precision of the observation-theory match that could be achieved in no other way. Furthermore, that detail and precision-of-match have a value that transcends their not always very high intrinsic interest. Without the special apparatus that is constructed mainly for anticipated functions, the results that lead to novelty could not occur. And even when the apparatus exists, novelty ordinarily emerges only for the man who, knowing with precision what he should expect, is able to recognize that something has gone wrong. Anomaly, appears only against the background provided by the paradigm. The more precise and far-reaching that paradigm is, the more sensitive an indicator it provides of anomaly and hence for an occasion for paradigm change....By ensuring that the paradigm will not be too easily surrendered, resistance guarantees that scientists will not be lightly distracted and that the anomalies that lead to paradigm change will penetrate existing knowledge to the core. The very fact that a significant scientific novelty so often emerges. simultaneously from several laboratories is an index both to the strongly traditional nature of normal science and to the completeness with which the traditional pursuit prepared the way for its own change. --- from Thomas Kuhn, The Structure of Scientific Revolutions.